

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/895,975 06/29/2001		06/29/2001	Mark R. Schmitt	AM100341	9267
25291	7590	05/26/2004		EXAMINER	
WYETI PATENT	-	ROUP	TRUONG, TAMTHOM NGO		
FIVE GI	_		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MADISO	ON, NJ	07940	1624		
			DATE MAILED: 05/26/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
			975	SCHMITT ET AL	SCHMITT ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit				
		Tamthor	m N. Truong	1624				
	The MAILING DATE of this communic	ation appears on t	he cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	iddress			
Period for								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Faill Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC ensions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commune period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) o period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period f	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no of nication. days, a reply within the story period will apply and II, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a relatutory minimum of third will expire SIX (6) MON pplication to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered tim ITHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.			
Status								
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 11 April 2004.						
· · · —	•	)⊠ This action is	non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for	on for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 2-4,6-8,10-12,14-20,22,67,70,74-77,79-81,83-85,87-93 and 95-97 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 2-4,6-8,10-12,14-20,67,70,74-77,79-81,83-85 and 87-93 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 22 and 95-97 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	a) accepted or b	o) objected to	by the Examiner.	,			
	Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyan	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	· ·	-	•				
11)[_]	The oath or declaration is objected to be	y the Examiner. N	Note the attached	Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do  2. Certified copies of the priority do  3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International see the attached detailed Office action to	ocuments have be ocuments have be the priority docum Il Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in A nents have been ule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this Nationa	l Stage			
Attachmen	` '		<b>∧</b> □ · ~					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC	)-948)		ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date				
3) 🛛 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT r No(s)/Mail Date <u>#8</u> .			formal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's amendment of 04-11-04 has been fully considered. Claims 1 and 70 have been cancelled, and therefore, the previous 112/1<sup>st</sup> paragraph has been obviated, and withdrawn herein. However, a search and another review of the pending claims yield the following new grounds of rejection.

Claims 1, 5, 9, 13, 21, 23-66, 68, 69, 71-73, 78, 82, 86, and 94 have been cancelled.

Therefore, only claims 2-4, 6-8, 10-12, 14-20, 22, 67, 70, 74-77, 79-81, 83-85, 87-93, and 95-97 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 1. Claims 2-4, 6-8, 10-12, 14-20, 67, 70, 74-77, 79-81, 83-85, and 87-93 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The following reasons apply:
  - a. Claims 2-4, 6-8, 11, 12, 14-18, 70, 75-77, 79-81, 84, 85, 87, 89-91 are indefinite because they recite moieties that are "optionally substituted" without reciting the intended substituents. In the absence of the specific moieties intended to effectuate modification by "substitution" or attachment to the chemical core claimed, the term

Art Unit: 1624

"substituted" renders the claims in which it appears indefinite in all occurrences wherein applicant fails to articulate by chemical name, structural formula or sufficiently distinct functional language, the particular moieties applicant regards as those which will facilitate substitution, requisite to identifying the composition of matter claimed.

- b. Claims 10, 19, 20, 67, 74, 83, 88, 92, and 93 are rejected as being dependent on claims 2, 70, or 75.
- c. Claims 2-4, 6-8, 10-12, 14-20, 67 are rejected because they recite (or refer to) a "method of treating or inhibiting the growth of cancerous tumor cells and associated diseases". The metes and bounds of "associated diseases" are unclear. Many times, cancer patients have secondary infections due to chemotherapy. Thus, it is unclear whether the claimed method would include secondary infections (i.e., secondary bacterial, fungal or viral infections) as well.

## Double Patenting

The **nonstatutory double patenting** rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Art Unit: 1624

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 2. Claim 70 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 5 of U.S. Patent No. **5,948,783**. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the fungicidal composition recited in claim 5 of US'783 anticipates the pharmaceutical composition recited in claim 70 of this application.
- 3. Claim 70 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 8-13 of U.S. Patent No. **6,020,338**. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the fungicidal composition recited in claims 8-13 of US'338 anticipates the pharmaceutical composition recited in claim 70 of this application.
- 4. Claim 70 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 4-6 of U.S. Patent No. **6,117,876**. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the fungicidal composition recited in claims 4-6 of US'876 anticipates the pharmaceutical composition recited in claim 70 of this application.
- 5. Claim 70 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,255,309 B1. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the

Application/Control Number: 09/895,975

Art Unit: 1624

6.

Page 5

fungicidal composition recited in claim 4 of US'309 anticipate the pharmaceutical composition

recited in claim 70 of this application.

Claim 70 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double

patenting as being unpatentable over claim 9 of U.S. Patent No. 6,297,251 B1. Although the

conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the

fungicidal composition recited in claim 9 of US'251 anticipate the pharmaceutical composition

recited in claim 70 of this application.

Claim Objections

7. Claims 22, and 95-97 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims. The species in said method claims are not found in the prior

arts of record.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tamthom N. Truong whose telephone number is 571-272-0676.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F ( $\sim$ 10 am  $\sim$  6:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mukund Shah can be reached at 571-272-0674. If you are unable to reach Dr. Shah within a 24 hour period, please contact James O. Wilson, Acting SPE of 1624, at 571-272-0661.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-1600.

T. Truong

May 21, 2004

RICHARD E. RAYMON PRIMARY EXAMINEI ART UNIT 1624